Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/521,785	WALLIS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ROBERT J. HICKS	3781
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Non-Final Rejection</u>		
(1) <u>ROBERT J. HICKS</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>VINCENT RAMIK</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>13 October 2008</u>	Time: <u>12:35 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-10 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Robert J Hicks/ Examiner, Art Unit 3781	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/521,785

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner spoke with Attorney Ramik regarding a restriction of claims between the product (Claims 1-5, 10) and the method of method of making the product (Claims 6-9). In a voicemail message on October 13th, Attorney Ramik elected the product claims without traverse.